## ${\rm IJPS}^{{\rm INTERNATIONAL\ JOURNAL\ OF\ PLANT\ SCIENCES}}_{{\rm Volume\ 8\ |\ Issue\ 1\ |\ January,\ 2013\ |\ 1-9}}$

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Enhanced variability due to shifts in mean created through induced mutation, hybridization and its combination in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

J. SHANTHALA, M.R. GURURAJA RAO, E. GANGAPPA AND P. VENKATARAVANA

#### **SUMMARY**

An experiment to study the effectiveness of  $\gamma$ -rays and ethyl methane sulphonate induced mutagenesis in bringing about shift in mean and creation of genetic variability for pod yield and its attributes in two varieties of groundnut viz., GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 and their  $F_2$  and  $F_2M_2$  generations was carried out during 2006-08, at Agricultural Research Station, Chintamani, UAS (B). The LD<sub>50</sub> dose was fixed at 20 kR for gamma ray irradiation and at 0.5 per cent for ethyl methane sulphonatee (EMS). The two varieties exhibited differential response to different mutagens. In general, the overall shifts in the mean of  $F_2M_2$  population was maximum in the desirable directions compared to any other populations followed by 20 kR irradiated  $M_2$  population of CTMG-1. The  $F_2M_2$  population manifested maximum heritability (96.44%) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (76.24%) and among the mutagen treated  $M_2$  populations, maximum heritability (81.35 %) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (41.50%) was recorded in 20 kR irradiated populations of GPBD-4 for pod yield (g/plant). The mutagenic treatment with 20 kR irradiated and 0.5 per cent EMS treated GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 populations and  $F_2M_2$  population have resulted in creation of higher genetic variations and hence serve as an excellent source material for variety development representing a more efficient source of genetic variability than gene pools conserved by nature.

**Key Words**: Induced mutagenesis, Shifts in mean, Genetic variability, F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population

How to cite this article: Shanthala, J., Gururaja Rao, M.R., Gangappa, E. and Venkataravana, P. (2013). Enhanced variability due to shifts in mean created through induced mutation, hybridization and its combination in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*). *Internat. J. Plant Sci.*, 8 (1): 1-9.

Article chronicle: Received: 29.05.2012; Revised: 01.08.2012; Accepted: 04.10.2012

roundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.), is one of the most important oilseed crops, commercially popular due to its superior quality of edible oil and protein, has a wide range of adaptability in varying agro-climatic conditions and soils. The major groundnut producing states of India are Gujarat which ranks first (2.5 m.t.), followed by Tamil Nadu (1

#### MEMBERS OF THE RESEARCH FORUM -

#### Author to be contacted:

J. SHANTHALA, Department of Genetic and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, G.K.V.K., BENGALURU (KARATAKA) INDIA

Email: shanthala16@gmail.com

#### Address of the Co-authors:

M.R. GURURAJA RAO, E. GANGAPPA AND P. VENKATARAVANA, Department of Genetic and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, G.K.V.K., BENGALURU (KARATAKA) INDIA

m.t), Andhra Pradesh (1 m.t), Karnataka (0.5 m.t) and Maharashtra (0.5 m.t). Due to increase in population, increased standard of living and rapid industrialization, the demand for vegetable oil and oilseeds is increasing, the National Council of Applied Economic Research (NCAER) has projected the demand for edible oils in India to be 19.02 m.t by 2025 (Sudhakara Babu and Hegde, 2011).

Lack of sufficient genetic variability has been one of the major constraints in groundnut. Creation of variability being the essence of plant breeding programme, the approach adopted strategically to create variation plays an important role in reaching the targets. The various problems often encountered in effecting crosses, longer period in evolving a superior variety and the non-availability of parents with desirable genes has enforced a limitation on the use of hybridization. Often, hybridization involving very diverse genotypes was found to disrupt the adaptive genetic base of

the parental genotypes by infusion of many undesirable traits which necessitate number of back crosses to eliminate them. In view of the above problems, alternate methods of generating polygenic variability have greater importance in breeding groundnut. Since spontaneous mutations occur at a very low frequency in nature, creation of variability has to be artificially enhanced either through inter-varietal hybridization or through induced mutagenesis. In this context, it would be worthwhile to examine the yield transformation that would be obtained through induced mutations. The merit of using polygenic mutation in place of recombination method can only be judged based on a direct comparison of the magnitude, distribution and breeding value of the genetic variability generated by the two methods. In addition, the method of subjecting heterozygous material (F<sub>1</sub> or F<sub>2</sub> seeds) to mutagenic treatments has opened up new possibilities in mutation breeding. Such an approach is expected to exploit the potentiality of both polygenic mutation as well as recombination in expanding the genetic variability beyond the limits set by the two methods, when applied individually.

Hence, a systematic study on the nature, magnitude and breeding value of the genetic variability generated through induced mutagenesis, hybridization and their combination would help in assessing the relative merits of each of these methods in crop improvement. Further, the involvement of both radiations and chemical mutagens to induce polygenic mutation in homozygous (pure lines) as well as heterozygous (hybrid-derived) genetic background coupled with simultaneous analysis of various polygenic traits in different generations is expected to throw light on the nature and properties of induced polygenic mutations. With these priorities in mind, the present work was taken up with an objective to assess the extent of genetic variability created by physical and chemical mutagens as well as hybridization and a combination of hybridization and mutation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out from *Kharif* 2006 up to *Kharif* 2008 at the field unit of All India Co-ordinated Research Project (AICRP) on Groundnut, Agricultural Research Station, Chintamani, representing the Eastern dry zone (Zone-5) of Karnataka. The material for the present investigation was generated from two varieties of groundnut *viz.*, GPBD-4 and CTMG-1.

These two varieties were treated with different doses of gamma-rays ( $\gamma$ -rays) and ethyl methane sulphonatee (EMS) for determination of LD<sub>50</sub> dose under laboratory conditions, after these two varieties were treated with LD<sub>50</sub> dose for each mutagen g-rays and EMS concentration, the progenies of M<sub>1</sub> generation were advanced to M<sub>2</sub> generations under field conditions. In addition, the two varieties were crossed to generate F<sub>0</sub> seeds, 50 per cent of which were treated with 20 kR g-rays and advanced to F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> generation, while remaining

50 per cent of untreated seeds were advanced to  $F_2$  generation under field conditions.

#### Indentification of LD<sub>50</sub> under laboratory conditions:

One hundred viable, bold uniform sized seeds of each of the two varieties viz., GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 with about 12 per cent moisture were selected and packed in butter paper bags separately for each treatment and sent to Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Bombay for irradiating seeds with 10 kR, 20 kR, 30 kR, 40 kR, 50 kR, 60 kR, 70 kR, 80 kR, and 90 kR doses of g-rays from 60Co source at gamma garden. The untreated seeds of each genotype were used as control. Similarly, One hundred bold and viable uniform sized seeds of each variety viz., GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 having 12 per cent moisture were treated with ethyl methane sulphonatee (EMS). Appropriate quantities of EMS were dissolved in double distilled water to get the required concentration of 0.1 per cent, 0.2 per cent, 0.3 per cent, 0.4 per cent, 0.5 per cent, 0.6 per cent, 0.7 per cent, 0.8 per cent and 0.9 per cent. The seeds of each genotype for each treatment were presoaked in double distilled water for six hours. The presoaked seeds were then soaked in EMS solutions of different concentration for eight hours at room temperature  $(22^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}C)$  with intermittent shaking during the period of treatment. After the treatment, the treated seeds were washed to remove the residues of the chemical. The seeds that were pre-soaked in double distilled water alone for six hours were used as control for EMS treatment.

The seeds of the two varieties viz., GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 that were individually treated with 10-90 kR doses of ( $\gamma$ -rays) and 0.1 - 0.9 per cent of EMS along with their respective controls were sown in germination papers and kept in germination chamber. On the tenth day of germination, per cent germination, seedling height (cm) and seedling vigor index from each treatment of both the varieties was recorded. The procedure followed for recording observations on seedling traits in M, generation in the laboratory is presented below.

#### **Germination percentage:**

The germination test was conducted in the laboratory using between paper method as prescribed by ISTA, (Anonymous, 1996) utilizing non toxic germination paper as media. One hundred seeds of each treatment of two replicates each were placed on germination paper that is between paper towels and the rolled towels were incubated in germination chamber maintained at  $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C temperature and 85 per cent relative humidity. The seedlings were evaluated on the fourth and tenth day and percentage germination was expressed based on normal seedlings.

#### Seedling height (cm):

The seedling height was measured from the tip of the primary root to the tip of the primary leaf and mean of ten seedlings was calculated and expressed in centimeters.

#### Seedling vigour index (SVI):

The seedling vigour index was calculated by using seedling growth parameters and expressed as a whole number as suggested by Abdul Baki and Anderson (1973).

SVI = Germination (%) x Mean seedling height (cm) Raising of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  generations

#### Mutagenic treatment and raising M, generation:

One hundred seeds of GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 were treated with 20kR of gamma-rays and 0.5 per cent of ethyl methane sulphonate along with their respective controls and  $M_1$  generation was raised following all the recommended package of practices. In each mutagenic treatment, all those plants that survived to maturity were harvested individually and forwarded to  $M_2$  generations as plant to row progeny.

#### Advancement of M<sub>1</sub> to M<sub>2</sub> generation :

The two untreated parental varieties GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 and seeds harvested from each  $\mathbf{M}_1$  plants were collected separately and sown in separate rows to raise  $\mathbf{M}_2$  generation following all the recommended package of practices.

Observations were recorded as per standard procedures on quantitative characters *viz.*, total number of pods per plant, number of matured pods per plant, pod yield per plant (g), kernel yield per plant (g),100-kernel weight (g) and oil content (%) in each treatment separately at the time of harvest along with the controls.

#### Hybridization and combination of hybridization and mutation:

Variability generated by hybridization alone and variability created through combination of hybridization and mutation was studied in this experiment. Crossing programme was carried out as per Norden (1973) between two varities CTMG-1 and GPBD-4. At the time of harvest, the crossed pods were collected to obtain  $\boldsymbol{F}_0$  seeds, half of which was used for raising  $\boldsymbol{F}_1$  generation. The remaining  $\boldsymbol{F}_0$  seeds were sent to Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Trombay, (BARC) Bombay for treatment with gamma-rays at 20 kR to obtain  $\boldsymbol{F}_1\boldsymbol{M}_1$  generation.

#### Raising F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>1</sub>M<sub>1</sub> generation:

The irradiated crossed seeds along with untreated crossed seeds of both the parents were sown and at the time of harvest, seeds of both  $F_1$ 's and  $F_1M_1$ 's individual plants were harvested separately to raise  $F_2$  and  $F_2M_2$  generation.

#### **Raising F, and F,M, generation:**

The  $\overline{F}_2$  and  $\overline{F}_2\overline{M}_2$  populations were raised in unreplicated blocks along with their parents.

#### **Statistical analysis:**

The mean was computed for all the observations recorded for each genotype and was used for further statistical

analysis. The analysis of variance was carried out following RCBD design as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

Statistical analysis of  $F_{\gamma}$ ,  $F_{\gamma}M_{\gamma}$  and  $M_{\gamma}$  populations:

The details of statistical procedures adopted for genetic analysis of  $F_2$ ,  $F_2M_2$  and also in  $M_2$  populations to get inference on the magnitude of variability produced, heritability and genetic advance as percentage over mean in respect of yield and its attributing characters are presented below.

#### Mean:

Means in respect of all the quantitative traits were calculated for each parent  $F_2$ ,  $F_2M_2$  and  $M_2$  populations along with their respective parents and or controls using data recorded on individual plants.

#### **Estimation of genetic parameters:**

The genetic variance was partitioned from total variance according to the method suggested by Fiuzat and Atkins, 1953 and as illustrated by Weber and Moorthy (1952). The variance of the F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> populations is considered as the total phenotypic variance ( $\sigma^2 p$ ). The environmental variance ( $\sigma^2$ e) is the mean variance of the non-segregating parental populations. The genotypic variance ( $\sigma^2$ g) was estimated as the difference between the total phenotypic variance and environmental variance. The genotypic and phenotypic co-efficient of variation for all the characters in each of the F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> populations, along with heritability in broad sense for all the characters was estimated as the ratio of genotypic variance to the phenotypic variance and was expressed in percentage were computed as per the methods suggested by Burton and De Vane (1953). Genetic advance expressed as per cent of mean (GAM), that is the extent of genetic advance for each character that could be expected by selecting certain proportion of the superior progeny was predicted by the formula given by Johnson et al. (1955).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The knowledge on genetic variability in the populations for different characters is a pre-requisite before initiating any breeding programme aimed at improving yield, quality and other characters under consideration. Unless a major portion of variations turns out to be heritable, attempts to improve a character by selection would become futile. Mutations is considered as a valuable tool in crop improvement, a source to increase genetic variability resources from which useful variants could be obtained either directly or after recombination. The importance of gamma-rays in evolving ideal recombination along with yield and other superior agronomic characters in groundnut was emphasized by Badigannavar *et al.* (2005). Irradiation of heterozygous material has been followed as new approach in mutation breeding. Such an approach is expected to exploit simultaneously the

potentiality of both polygenic mutations and recombination in enlarging quantitative variability beyond the limits set by the two methods when applied individually and brings about breakages of linkages of undesirable gene blocks.

#### Determination of $LD_{50}$ dose in $M_1$ generation :

The effect of different doses of gamma-rays ranging from 10 to 90 kR and different concentrations of ethyl methane sulphonatee (EMS) ranging from 0.1 to 0.9 per cent along with control on seedling growth, germination percentage and seedling vigour index in two groundnut varieties GPBD 4 and CTMG 1 is tabulated in Table 1 and 2. The germination percentage was 51.21 per cent in GPBD-4 and 50.53 per cent in the variety CTMG-1 at 20 kR. The germination percentage was 51.13 per cent in the variety GPBD-4 and 50.25 per cent in the variety CTMG-1 at 0.50 per cent concentration.

Hence, LD $_{50}$  dose was fixed for both gamma-rays (20 kR) as well as EMS (0.5 %) treatment. The immediate effect of treatment of seeds with mutagens is inhibition of germination. A gradual reduction in germination percentage with increase in dosage was noticed with both gamma-rays and EMS treatments. Singh and Kole (2005) reported severe reduction in germination, frequency of normal seedlings, reduction in plumule to radical length and physiological injuries of radical which indicated effective mutagenesis in mung bean. Singh and Renuka Devi (2006) also made similar observations in gamma ray treated rice bean varieties.

## Mean performance of various characters recorded in $M_2$ , $F_2$ and $F_2M_2$ , generations of groundnut varieties:

Mean was computed for productivity characters of M<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>, along with their control and is presented in Table

$ Table \ \ 1: \ Effect of different doses of gamma \ rays \ on \ germination \ percentage \ and \ seedling \ traits \ in \ M_1 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_2 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_2 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_2 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_3 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_3 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_3 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_3 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_3 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ in \ M_3 \ generation \ of \ two \ varieties \ of \ ground nut \ denote the seedling \ traits \ of \ denote the seedling \ denote the seedling \ traits \ of \ denote the seedling \ d$								
Doses of γ-rays (kR)		GPBD-4		CTMG-1				
	Germination percentage	Seedling height (cm)	Seedling vigour index	Germination percentage	Seedling height (cm)	Seedling vigour index		
Control	100.00	27.54	2747.64	100.00	27.38	2736.38		
10	93.37	24.36	2275.76	88.30	21.85	1946.21		
20	51.21	19.60	1003.69	50.53	15.48	782.20		
30	49.00	17.61	930.50	47.60	11.06	570.93		
40	46.99	6.46	303.56	46.28	8.61	397.87		
50	42.77	5.56	237.83	42.56	8.27	354.33		
60	37.95	5.00	190.04	35.64	4.95	176.70		
70	32.53	4.67	153.47	28.20	5.56	156.19		
80	20.48	4.79	97.28	20.22	5.00	100.35		
90	13.26	4.46	58.07	3.19	1.85	11.80		
Mean	48.86	12.31	809.78	46.65	11.00	723.26		
C.V. (%)	5.29	19.26	24.23	4.35	15.76	22.68		
C.D.@5%	13.16	4.36	346.71	11.28	26.87	375.84		

$Table\ 2: Effect\ of\ different\ concentrations\ of\ EMS\ on\ germination\ percentage\ and\ seedling\ traits\ in\ M_1\ generation\ of\ two\ varieties\ of\ ground nut$								
		GPBD-4		CTMG-1				
EMS Concentration (%)	Germination percentage	Seedling height (cm)	Seedling vigour index	Germination percentage	Seedling height (cm)	Seedling vigour index		
Control	99.96	23.90	2390.93	99.97	28.40	2840.32		
0.10	94.79	30.13	2856.99	92.72	31.31	2903.26		
0.20	85.60	35.19	3010.32	88.58	28.19	2497.01		
0.30	74.11	33.18	2461.78	80.81	25.23	2041.87		
0.40	49.98	28.64	1429.17	48.69	17.93	873.05		
0.50	51.13	17.08	873.89	50.25	16.48	828.03		
0.60	37.34	11.90	444.26	39.89	7.73	308.34		
0.70	16.09	8.29	137.97	13.99	7.44	102.32		
0.80	8.04	13.80	110.72	9.32	11.32	104.75		
0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Mean	51.70	20.21	1371.60	52.42	16.90	1224.59		
C.V. (%)	4.02	12.29	11.84	5.82	13.67	9.45		
C.D.@ 5%	2.78	17.51	286.86	14.76	18.94	327.41		

3. Maximum mean was observed for total number of pods  $(37.49\pm0.44)$ , number of matured pods  $(35.02\pm0.42)$ , pod yield per plant  $(18.37\pm0.43)$ , kernel yield per plant  $(12.59\pm0.13)$ , hundred kernel weight  $(42.17\pm0.19)$  and oil content  $(45.57\pm0.19)$  in the  $F_2M_2$  population, whereas the mean performance of these traits was comparatively lower in  $F_2$  population.

Among the mutagen treated  $\rm M_2$  populations, 20 kR irradiated CTMG-1 population recorded highest number of matured pods (34.51±0.29), pod yield per plant (14.56±0.15), kernel yield per plant (12.41±0.14), in 0.5 per cent EMS treated CTMG-1 population recorded maximum total number of pods (36.17±0.45), and hundred kernel weight (41.75±0.19) and 20 kR irradiated GPBD 4 population recorded highest mean oil content (45.94±0.13) compared to controls.

## Shifts in mean performance for various characters in $F_2$ , $F_2M_2$ , and $M_2$ , populations compared to control:

In M<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> populations, either positive or

negative shifts for mean values in different population is revealed in Table 4. In  $M_2$  population, most of the characters exhibited a positive shifts at 20 kR irradiated and 0.5 per cent EMS treated population of both GPBD-4 and CTMG-1 varieties. The  $F_2M_2$  populations exhibited positive and highly desirable shifts for most of the characters. While,  $F_2$  population was slightly better than control or at par with other mutagenic treatments.

Total number of pods, number of matured pods, pod yield per plant, kernel yield per plant, and 100-kernel weight manifested maximum positive shifts in  $F_2M_2$  population followed by 20 kR irradiated and 0.5 per cent EMS treated  $M_2$  population of GPBD-4 and CTMG-1. Greater positive shift was observed in 20 kR irradiated GPBD-4,  $F_2M_2$  population and 0.5 per cent EMS treated  $M_2$  populations of GPBD-4 for oil content. However, a marginal shift was observed in  $F_2$  population. Positive shift in mean of different traits over control values may be attributed to the induction of a higher

Table 3: Mean of different	characters in co	ntrol, M <sub>2</sub> , F <sub>2</sub> and	$F_2M_2$ populations	of two groundnut varieties		
		_		$M_2$		
Characters	Variety	Control	Gamma rays	Ethyl methane sulphonatee	$F_2$	$F_2M_2$
			20 kR	0.5 %		
Total mymhan af mada	GPBD-4	23.85±0.33	31.56±0.35	33.44±0.27	26.94±0.44	37.49±0.44
Total number of pods	CTMG-1	25.04±0.39	35.63±0.45	36.17±0.45	20.94±0.44	37.49±0.44
Number of metured pade	GPBD-4	18.50±0.42	26.31±0.34	29.05±0.29	21.39±0.34	35.02±0.42
Number of matured pods	CTMG-1	19.09±0.49	34.51±0.29	34.48±0.28		
Dod viold (a/mlont)	GPBD-4	10.47±0.21	10.66±0.19	11.30±0.16	12.11±0.36	18.37±0.43
Pod yield (g/plant)	CTMG-1	11.45±0.22	14.56±0.15	14.33±0.14		
Voumal viold (a/mlant)	GPBD-4	6.22±0.26	7.07±0.13	9.21±0.11	0.12+0.11	12.59±0.11
Kernel yield (g/plant)	CTMG-1	8.81±0.19	12.41±0.14	11.84±0.15	9.12±0.11	12.39±0.1.
100 fram al visiaht (a)	GPBD-4	30.59±0.19	33.12±0.10	33.98±0.09	22.74.0.22	42.17±0.3
100-kernel weight (g)	CTMG-1	32.13±0.25	41.19±0.23	41.75±0.19	32.74±0.32	42.17±0.3
Oil content (01)	GPBD-4	41.32±0.27	45.94±0.13	45.43±0.17	44.06±0.16	45 57 (0.1)
Oil content (%)	CTMG-1	42.46±0.32	45.33±0.18	45.41±0.16	44.00±0.10	45.57±0.19

Table 4 : Shifts in the mean of pod yield and its component characters in M <sub>2</sub> , F <sub>2</sub> and F <sub>2</sub> M <sub>2</sub> population over respective control in groundnut								
Characters	Variety	Gamma rays Ethyl methane sulphonatee		$\mathbf{F}_2$	$F_2M_2$			
		20 kR	0.5 %					
Total number of pods	GPBD-4	7.71	9.59	3.09	13.64			
Total number of pous	CTMG-1	10.59	11.13	1.90	12.45			
Number of motured made	GPBD-4	7.81	10.55	2.89	16.52			
Number of matured pods	CTMG-1	15.42	15.39	2.30	15.93			
D- 4-4-14 (-/-1)	GPBD-4	0.19	0.83	1.64	7.90			
Pod yield (g/plant)	CTMG-1	3.11	2.88	0.66	6.92			
W11-1 (-/-11)	GPBD-4	0.85	2.99	2.90	6.37			
Kernel yield (g/plant)	CTMG-1	3.60	3.03	0.31	3.78			
100 11	GPBD-4	2.53	3.39	2.15	11.58			
100-kernel weight (g)	CTMG-1	9.06	9.62	0.61	10.04			
0.1 ( (0)	GPBD-4	4.62	4.11	2.74	4.25			
Oil content (%)	CTMG-1	2.87	2.95	1.60	3.11			

proportion of desirable mutations.

Increase in mean values for certain characters was also observed following mutagenic treatment indicating that mutagenic treatment was successful in bringing about rare combinations to result in superior segregants which otherwise were not seen in the hybridized populations. Further, it could be concluded that increase in mean value of these characters may be due to occurrence of more polygenic mutations in positive directions. The results obtained in the present investigations are in conformity with the earlier reports of Dutta et al. (1987) and Siddappagoudar (1996). All these above findings are in accordance with the hypothesis of Brock (1965) who proposed that mutational events shift the mean away from the previous selections history. These results are in confirmation with the reports of Veena and Ravikumar (2003) who compared the mean in F<sub>2</sub>, 4M<sub>2</sub> and 4F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> populations and indicated shifts in both the directions compared to control due to mutagenesis of hybrid. The direction of shifts varied with genotype, mutagen and character. The changes in mean in the mutagen treated populations were followed by changes in variance for all the characters.

#### **Genetic variation:**

In the present investigation, the values of the phenotypic and genotypic co-efficients of variability along with heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean of various populations were compared to know the efficiency of mutagenesis and hybridization as well as combination of hybridization and mutation in enlarging polygenic variability.

Variability parameters viz., heritability, genetic advance as per cent of mean of various characters in F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> and M<sub>3</sub> generations of groundnut varieties is presented in Table 5. The F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population recorded highest range for total number of pods (16.0-49.0), number of matured pods (14.0-44.0), widest range was observed for pod yield (g/plant) (3.2-27.8) and oil content (34.5-49.8). The F<sub>2</sub> population showed highest range only for 100-kernel weight (g) (20.5-45.7). Among the mutagen treated M<sub>2</sub> populations, 20 kR irradiated populations as well as 0.5 per cent EMS treated population of CTMG-1 showed widest range for total number of pods (20.0-45.0 and 21.0-46.0, respectively). The GPBD-4 population with 0.5 per cent EMS treatment recorded highest range for number of matured pods (19.0-37.0) and pod yield (g/plant) (5.8-16.2). Widest range was observed for kernel yield per plant (8.7-15.5) and hundred kernel weight (34.6-45.5) in 20 kR irradiated CTMG-1 population and 0.5 per cent EMS treated population of CTMG-1 for oil content in (40.3-49.2).

Highest GCV and PCV were recorded for pod yield (g/plant) (39.72 and 40.36 per cent, respectively) and high GCV and PCV for total number of pods (21.91 and 23.19 per cent, respectively) by  $F_2M_2$  population. High GCV and PCV were recorded by 20 kR irradiated population of GPBD-4 (21.92 and 26.17 per cent, respectively) for kernel yield per plant. Moderate GCV and high PCV values were observed in  $F_2$ 

population (19.98 and 20.71 per cent, respectively) for number of matured pods.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed in F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population (86.48 and 38.51%, respectively), 0.5 per cent EMS treated population of CTMG-1 (87.87%) and 20 kR irradiated population CTMG-1 (87.84%) for total number of pods. Heritability was maximum in F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub>, population (96.80%) followed by F<sub>2</sub> population (92.98%) for number of matured pods. The F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population manifested maximum heritability (96.44%) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (76.24%) and among the mutagen treated M<sub>2</sub> populations, maximum heritability (81.35 %) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (41.50%) was recorded in 20 kR irradiated populations of GPBD-4 for Pod yield (g/plant). The highest heritability was observed in 0.5 per cent EMS treated CTMG-1 (74.50%) followed by F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population (71.28%) for kernel yield per plant. Among the mutagen treated populations, 20 kR irradiated population of CTMG-1 (80.31%) showed higher heritability for 100-kernel weight (g). The F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population recorded maximum heritability (76.09%) and genetic advance as per cent of mean (10.28%) for oil content.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean along with high GCV indicating the involvement of additive gene action and offers good scope for further improvement in advance generation if these characters are subjected to mass progeny or family selection. Economically important characters showing low to moderate heritability along with high genetic advance and high GCV suggested that these characters should largely be under the control of additive gene action and lower estimates of heritability may be due to larger influence of environmental factors. In some instances high estimates of heritability were not associated with the high values of genetic advance and vice-versa. This might be due to lower or higher values of phenotypic standard deviation which determines the value of genetic advance. In such a situation, variability in base populations would be more useful than the magnitude of heritability alone for selecting better genotype. (Meta and Monpara, 2010)

The importance of mutagenesis in increasing recombination rate with a possibility of adding induced variability to that inherent in the cross has been realized in both heterozygous and heterogeneous genotype of different crop species (Virk et al., 1978 and Katoch et al., 1991). Highest variability for pod yield and number of matured pods via irradiation of hybrid rather than irradiation or hybridization alone was observed by Viswanathan et al. (1999). These results are in accordance with the reports of Govindarasu and Ramamoorthi (2000), who recorded an increase in genetic variability in the  $F_2M_2$  population over  $F_2$ 's of all the crosses for different traits like seed yield, number of branches, number of capsules, seed number/ capsule and 1000 seed weight of sesame. Rangaswamy (1980) also recovered superior groundnut segregants from double crosses with mutagenesis

Table 5: Variability parameters for various yield components in M2, F2 and F2M2 populations of groundnut varieties  M2						
Characters	Parameters	Variety	Gamma rays 20 kR	Ethyl methane sulphonatee 0.5 %	F <sub>2</sub>	$F_2M_2$
		GPBD-4	23.0-40.0	23.0-40.0	13.0-43.4	16.0-49.0
	Range	CTMG-1	20.0-45.0	21.0-46.0		
		GPBD-4	14.30	9.65		21.91
	GCV(%)	CTMG-1	16.42	16.20	19.58	
Γotal number of		GPBD-4	15.40	11.06		
oods	PCV(%)	CTMG-1	17.51	17.28	21.68	23.19
		GPBD-4	86.09	76.00		
	Heritability(bs)	CTMG-1	87.84	87.87	81.59	86.48
		GPBD-4	27.31	17.32		
	GAM (%)	CTMG-1	31.69	31.28	36.44	38.51
		GPBD-4	17.0-34.0	19.0-37.0		
	Range	CTMG-1	26.0-40.0	28.0-42.0	9.0-31.0	14.0-44.0
		GPBD-4	15.42	11.00		18.44
	GCV(%)	CTMG-1	8.64	8.15	19.98	
Number of		GPBD-4	17.67	13.49	20.71	18.73
natured pods	PCV(%)	CTMG-1	11.71	11.34		
	Heritability(bs)	GPBD-4	76.14	66.45	92.98	96.80
		CTMG-1	54.61	51.64		
	GAM (%)	GPBD-4	27.72	18.47	39.67	41.35
		CTMG-1	13.17	12.06		
	Range	GPBD-4	6.5-15.8	5.8-16.2	3.5-20.9	3.2-27.8
		CTMG-1	10.6-17.6	11.0-17.0		
	GCV(%)	GPBD-4	22.34	16.18	37.42	39.72
		CTMG-1	11.33	9.97		
od yield (g/plant)	PCV(%)	GPBD-4	24.77	19.03	38.81	40.36
, (21		CTMG-1	14.01	13.05		
		GPBD-4	81.35	71.98	92.81	96.44
	Heritability(bs)	CTMG-1	65.23	58.45		
		GPBD-4	41.50	28.21	74.20	76.24
	GAM (%)	CTMG-1	18.83	15.71		
		GPBD-4	3.9-10.5	6.5-12.5	6.5-12.5	8.7-15.5
	Range	CTMG-1	8.7-15.5	8.8-15.5		
		GPBD-4	21.92	11.18	10.42	13.11
	GCV(%)	CTMG-1	12.59	15.48		
Kernel yield	PCV(%)	GPBD-4	26.17	15.74	15.57	
(g/plant)		CTMG-1	15.23	17.91		15.57
	Heritability(bs)	GPBD-4	69.77	50.71	45.27	71.28
		CTMG-1	67.97	74.50		
	GAM (%)	GPBD-4	37.61	16.45	14.52	
		CTMG-1	21.32	27.48		22.86

Table 5: Contd......

Table 5: Contd.....

Table 3: Conta	••••					
	Range	GPBD-4	30.46-35.6	30.2-36.3	20.5-45.7	27.8-52.8
	runge	CTMG-1	34.6-45.5	36.8-45.7	20.5 45.7	27.0-32.0
	CCV(0!)	GPBD-4	2.72	2.60	9.67	12.12
	GCV(%)	CTMG-1	6.79	5.34	9.07	12.12
100-kernel weight	DGTT(d)	GPBD-4	4.14	4.00	12.96	13.78
(g)	PCV(%)	CTMG-1	7.57	6.30	12.86	
	Howitability(ba)	GPBD-4	43.09	42.16	56.55	77.23
	Heritability(bs)	CTMG-1	80.31	72.13	30.33	
	GAM (%)	GPBD-4	3.67	3.48	14.98	21.92
		CTMG-1	12.53	9.36		
	Range	GPBD-4	42.4-48.9	41.2-48.8	36.8-47.8	24.5.40.0
		CTMG-1	40.5-48.8	40.3-49.2		34.5-49.8
	GCV(%)	GPBD-4	2.01	3.85	2.65	5.72
		CTMG-1	4.26	3.57	3.65	5.73
Oil content (%)	PCV(%)	GPBD-4	3.74	5.02		6.56
On Content (%)		CTMG-1	5.36	4.82	4.95	
	Heritability(bs)	GPBD-4	28.62	59.07	54.76	76.00
		CTMG-1	63.44	55.11		76.09
	GAM (%)	GPBD-4	2.21	6.11	5.58	10.28
		CTMG-1	7.01	5.48		10.20

compared to untreated double crosses for productivity characters.

Conclusive evidence could be elucidated by maintenance of highest variability for number of matured pods and pod yield via irradiation of hybrid rather than irradiation or hybridization alone. Irradiation of hybrid seeds produced wide variability in F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> for most of the traits like total number of pods, number of matured pods, pod yield per plant, kernel yield per plant, hundred kernel weight and oil content in groundnut crosses that were subjected to 20 kR dose of ãrays. Further, the superiority of irradiations over hybrid was seen by the increased variability in M<sub>2</sub> compared to F<sub>2</sub> population for all the characters. When genetic variability produced by irradiation of hybrid seeds was compared with the variability produced by irradiation in parents, the variability in F<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> population was more than M<sub>2</sub> population for all the characters. Thus, combination of hybridizations and mutation could be adopted by resorting to treating F, hybrid with gamma irradiation for obtaining higher proportion of superior recombinants. In treated population, there is a scope for shuffling of genes in the segregating generation and hence increased possibility of realizing superior segregants.

#### **Acknowledgement:**

The first author is greatful to the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru, and AICRP on Groundnut for providing the facilities for conducting the experiment.

#### REFERENCES

- Anonymous (1996). International rules for seed testing. *Seed Sci. & Tech.*, **24**: 1-335.
- Abdul Baki, A. A. and Anderson, J. D. (1973). Vigour determination in soybean seeds by multiple criteria. *Crop Sci.*, **13**: 630-633.
- Badigannavar, A.M., Kale, D.M., Mondal, S. and Murthy, G.S.S. (2005). Trombay groundnut recombinants resistance to foliar diseases. *Mutation. Breed. Newsl. Rev.*, 1: 11-12.
- Brock, R.D. (1965). Induced mutations affecting quantitative characters. *Radiation Bot.* (Suppl.), 5:451-464.
- Burton, G. W. and De Vane, E.H. (1953). Estimating heritability in Tall Fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agron. J.*, **45**: 478-481.
- Dutta, M., Arunachalam, V. and Bandyopadhyay, A. (1987). Enhanced cross pollination to widen the scope of breeding in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 74: 466–470.
- Fiuzat, Y. and Atkins, R.E. (1953). Genetic and environmental variability in segregating barley populations. *Agron. J.*, **45**: 414-420.
- Govindarasu, R. and Ramamoorthi, N. (2000). Increased genetic variability following hybridization and mutagenesis in sesame. *Indian J. Genet.*, **60**: 251-253.

- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E.(1955).
  Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J., 47: 314-318.
- Katoch, P. C., Maesae, J.E. and Plaha, P. (1991). Effect of gamma irradiation on variation in segregating generations of F<sub>2</sub> seeds of rice. *Indian J. Genet.*, 52: 213-218.
- Meta, H. R. and Monpara, B. A. (2010). Genetic variation and trait relationships in summer groundnut *Arachis hypogaea* L. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, 27 (1): 8-11.
- Norden, A.J. (1973). Breeding of cultuvated peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L) pp. 175-208. In peanuts-culture and uses Am. Peanut. Res. Edu. Assoc.Still water Ok.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1967). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, NEW DELHI, INDIA. 26pp.
- Rangaswamy, R. (1980). Induction of variability through mutagenesis of the intraspecific hybrid in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Ph.D., Thesis, Tamil Nadu, Agricultural University, Coimbatore, T. N. (INDIA).
- Renuka Devi, Th. and Singh, N.B. (2006). Mutagenic induction of variability and selection in M<sub>2</sub> generation of selected ricebean [Vigna umbellate (Thunb.) Ohwi and Ohashi] culitvars of Manipur. Legume Res., 29: 150-153.
- Singh, Rupinder and Kole, C.R. (2005). Effect of mutagenic treatment with EMS on germination and some seedling parameters in mungbean. *Crop Res.*, **30**: 236-240.
- Siddappagoudar, E.N. (1996). Efficiency of hybrid mutagenesis for the improvement of productivity and foliar disease resistance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad, KARNATAKA (INDIA).

- Singh, N.B. and Renuka Devi, Th. (2006). Biological effects of gamma-rays on M<sub>1</sub> generation of ricebean [*Vigna umbellate* (Thunb.) Ohwi and Ohashi]. *Legume Res.*, **29**: 266-269.
- Sudhakara Babu, S.N. and Hegde, D.M. (2011). Best management practices for increasing productivity and resource use efficiency in oilseeds. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **28** (1): 19-23.
- Veena, K.R. and Ravikumar, R.L. (2003). Comparative evaluation of hybrids, mutants and hybrid with mutantion in evolving genetic variability in safflower. *Karnataka J. Agric*. Sci., **16**: 397-402.
- Virk, D. S., Saini, S. S. and Gupta, V. P. (1978). Gamma irradiation induced polygenic variation in pure breeding and segregating genotypes of wheat and rice. *Env. Expt. Bot.*, 18: 185-191.
- Viswanathan, P.L., Nadarajan, N. and Ramamoorthy, N. (1999). Comparison of in macro mutation frequency in homo and heterozygous genotypes of groundnut by gammarays. J. Oilseeds Res., 16: 234-240.
- Weber, C.R. and Moorthy, B.R. (1952). Heritable and non heritable relationships and variability of oil content and agronomic characters in the  $F_2$  generation of soybean crosses. *Agron. J.*, **44** : 202-209.

\*\*\*\*\*